August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NUMBER: 194904

DISTRICT NAME:

CLARKSVILLE

District Rating: Academically Unacceptable

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

| TEXAS ASSESSME | NT OF KNOWL | EDGE AND SK | ILLS (| TAKS) TA | BLE | | | | Damiina | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|--------------|
| | | 2007 - | | | | 2006 | | | Require Improvem | ent | |
| | Number | | Pct | Stu | Number | | Pct | Met | | | |
| Performance | Met | Number | Met | Grp | Met | Number | Met | Min | Act | | Met |
| Results | Std | Taking | Std | % | Std | Taking | Std | Size | Chg | RI | RI? |
| Reading/ELA (6 | 5%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| X All Students | 347 | 434 | 80% | 100% | 364 | 454 | 80% | | 0 | | |
| X African Amer | 155 | 222 | | 51% | 156 | 225 | | Yes | 1 | 3 | No |
| X Hispanic | 59 | 66 | 89% | 15% | 51 | 60 | 85% | | 4 | | |
| X White | 122 | 134 | 91% | 31% | 148 | 159 | | | -2 | | |
| X Econ Disadv | 238 | 315 | 76% | 73% | 234 | 308 | 76% | | 0 | | |
| Writing (65%) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X All Students | 77 | 97 | 79% | 100% | 77 | 87 | 89% | | -10 | | |
| X African Amer | 37 | | 76% | 51% | | 46 | 83% | | -7 | | |
| Hispanic | 15 | 18 | 83% | 19% | * | * | 90% | | -7 | | |
| White | 25 | | | 30% | * | * | 96% | | -10 | | |
| X Econ Disadv | 59 | 78 | 76% | 80% | 55 | 64 | 86% | | -10 | | |
| Social Studies | (65%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| X All Students | 103 | 128 | 80% | 100% | 123 | 148 | 83% | | -3 | | |
| X African Amer | 36 | 55 | 65% | 43% | 54 | 74 | | Yes | -8 | ** | No |
| Hispanic | * | * | 95% | * | * | * | > 99% | | * | | |
| X White | 42 | 46 | 91% | 36% | 53 | 58 | 91% | | 0 | | |
| X Econ Disadv | 50 | 69 | 72% | 54% | 65 | 86 | 76% | Yes | -4 | -1 | No |
| Mathematics (4) | E&) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mathematics (4! X All Students | 275 | 431 | 64% | 100% | 283 | 452 | 63% | Yes | 1 | ** | No |
| X African Amer | 107 | 215 | | 50% | 107 | 220 | | Yes | 1 | ** | No |
| X Hispanic | 50 | 68 | 74% | 16% | 43 | 63 | | Yes | 6 | 4 | Yes |
| X White | 110 | 136 | | 32% | 125 | 159 | 79% | 105 | 2 | _ | 100 |
| X Econ Disadv | | 312 | 59% | 72% | 170 | 306 | | Yes | 3 | ** | No |
| ani (40%) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Science (40%) X All Students | 76 | 151 | 50% | 100% | 79 | 145 | 54% | Yes | -4 | ** | No |
| X African Amer | 24 | 75 | | 50% | 21 | 70 | | Yes | 2 | 5 | No |
| Hispanic | 10 | | 50% | 13% | 8 | 14 | 57% | 165 | -7 | , | 110 |
| X White | 38 | 49 | 78% | 32% | 48 | 58 | 83% | | - 5 | | |
| X Econ Disadv | 39 | 94 | 41% | 62% | 27 | 80 | | Yes | 7 | ** | No |
| ** Met the min | nimum giza : | reguirement | but o | did not i | meet the 7 | 0% floor | for Pec | oanize | ď | | |
| | | | | | meet the / | | | .091112e | ···· | | |
| STATE-DEVELOPE | D ALTERNATI | VE ASSESSME | NT II | (SDAA II |) TABLE | | | | | | |
| | | 2007 - | | | | 2006 | | | Requir Improve | ed ment | |
| | # To | | D-+ | G+ | # ===== | | Det | W-+ | | | |
| CDAA TT | # Tests | | Pct | Stu | # Tests | | Pct | Met | 3.4 | | W-+ |
| SDAA II Results (50%) | Met ARD | # Tests | Met ARD | Grp % | Met ARD | # Tests | Met ARD | Min Size | | ъ | Met I RI? |
| Results (50%) | МО | 16565 | шо | .0 | ALD | 10000 | ALL | DIZE | Cirg | | |
| X All Students | 139 | 152 | 91% | 100% | 149 | 165 | 90% | | 1 | | |
| EXCEPTIONS TAB | LE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number Msrs | Number | Number | Floor | r(g) 1 | Mgr(g) IIda | .d | | | | | |
| Evaluated | Allowed | Needed | Met: | | Msr(s) Used in 2006? Exceptions A | | | plied | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 3 | 1 | No | | N/A | No | | | | | |

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: CLARKSVILLE

DISTRICT NUMBER: 194904

District Rating: Academically Unacceptable

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

COMPLETION RATE I TABLE (Gr. 9-12) (75.0%)

| | | | | | | | | | | Required | | | |
|--------------|---------|----------|---------|-------|------|---------|---------|-------|------|-----------|-------|-----|---|
| | | Class | of 2006 | | | Class | of 2005 | | | Improveme | ent · | | ı |
| | • | | | | | • | | • • • | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | Stu | | | | Met | | | | |
| | # Com- | # | # in | Comp | Grp | # Com- | # in | Comp | Min | Act | | Met | |
| | pleters | dropouts | Class | Rate | % | | Class | Rate | Size | | ът | RI? | |
| | brecers | aropouts | CIASS | Rate | ъ | pleters | CIASS | Race | SIZE | Chg | RI | KI | |
| | | | | | | =- | | | | | | | |
| All Students | 71 | 4 | 77 | 92.2% | 100% | 70 | 75 | 93.3% | | -1.1 | | | |
| African Amer | 42 | 2 | 44 | 95.5% | 57% | 31 | 32 | 96.9% | | -1.4 | | | |
| Hispanic | * | * | 2 | * | 3% | 5 | 6 | 83.3% | | * | | | |
| White | 26 | 2 | 30 | 86.7% | 39% | 33 | 36 | 91.7% | | -5.0 | | | |
| Econ Disadv | 35 | 3 | 39 | 89.7% | 51% | 32 | 34 | 94.1% | | -4.4 | | | |

Decreases in completion rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

Completion data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE TABLE (Gr. 7-8) (1.0%)

Stu # # 7-8 Dropout Grp Dropouts Graders Rate %

|-----|

100% All Students 0 140 0.0% African Amer 0 68 0.0% 49% Hispanic 0 23 0.0% 16% White 0.0% 34% 0 47 Econ Disadv 0 95 0.0% 68%

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

Dropout data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.